**CIFEBRIDGE** HEALTH®

> Rubin Institute for Advanced Orthopedics Sinai Hospital of Baltimore

# **BLOURDES**



## Noman A. Siddiqui, DPM, MHA,<sup>1</sup> Prianka Sharma, DPM,<sup>2</sup> Jessica Fink, DPM,<sup>3</sup> Alison D'Andelet, DPM, MHA,<sup>2</sup> Emily Chau, DPM,<sup>3</sup> and Guido LaPorta, DPM, MS<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>International Center for Limb Lengthening, Rubin Institute for Advanced Orthopedics, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Maryland, USA; <sup>2</sup>Our Lady of Lourdes Memorial Hospital, Binghamton, New York, USA; <sup>3</sup>Baltimore VA/Sinai Hospital Residency Program, Baltimore, Maryland, USA; <sup>4</sup>Geisinger Community Medical Center, Scranton, Pennsylvania, USA For this radiographic review of normal feet, FDA approval was not applicable. None of the authors have any relevant conflicts of interest to report.

#### Methodology & Hypothesis Purpose The anatomic axis of the metatarsals • The institutional review board approved this retrospective radiographic review of 50 normal feet (40 patients) without hallux valgus deformity (Table 1). is routinely used to obtain the intermetatarsal angle (IMA) and tibial • We hypothesized that values obtained using the mechanical axis and those obtained using the anatomic axis sesamoid position (TSP). However, would be similar. the anatomic axis is disrupted in feet that have had osteotomies, surgery, • All measurements were obtained from weight bearing anteroposterior view radiographs. posttraumatic conditions, or • All angles were measured by two authors (PS and NAS) utilizing calibrated software (Merge Healthcare, eFilm congenital deformities. Workstation 4.2.0, Chicago, IL). • The mechanical axis of the metatarsals • The first metatarsal alMA is the angle formed by the anatomic axis (mid-diaphyseal line) of the first metatarsal has not been described in the literature. and the anatomic axis of the second metatarsal (Fig. 1). • The goals of this study are to identify: • To draw the mechanical axis of a metatarsal (Fig. 2A–B): Normal mechanical axes of the first Draw a proximal joint line: connect the medial and lateral edges of the articular surfaces of the and second metatarsals proximal metatarsal. Normal values for the first Draw a distal joint line: connect the medial and lateral edges of the articular surfaces of the metatarsal mechanical IMA (mIMA), distal metatarsal. first metatarsal anatomic IMA (aIMA), mechanical TSP (mTSP), and anatomic TSP (aTSP) distal joint line. Normal values for the first • The first metatarsal mIMA is the angle formed by the mechanical axis of the first metatarsal and the mechanical metatarsal anatomic-mechanical axis of the second metatarsal (Fig. 2). angle (AMA) and the second metatarsal AMA • The first metatarsal AMA is the angle formed between the anatomic and mechanical axes of the first metatarsal • First mIMA and mTSP will be compared

- The second metatarsal AMA is the angle formed between the anatomic and mechanical axes of the second metatarsal (Fig. 3).
- TSP was measured using the anatomic and mechanical axes of the first metatarsal to determine the aTSP and mTSP, respectively (Fig. 4).



### **Table 1.** Patient Demographics.

whether variance exists.

to the first aIMA and aTSP to determine

- (Fig. 3).

# Mechanical Axis Method to Determine **First Intermetatarsal Angle and Tibial Sesamoid Position**

- To draw the mechanical axis: Draw a line from the midpoint of the proximal joint line to the midpoint of the

Figure 1. A and B, Panels show how to draw the anatomic axis (mid-diaphyseal line) of the first metatarsal. **C**, First metatarsal anatomic intermetatarsal angle (alMA) is formed by the anatomic axis of the first metatarsal and the anatomic axis of the

Figure 2. A and B, Panels show how to draw the mechanical axis of the first metatarsal. C, First metatarsal mechanical intermetatarsal angle (mIMA) is formed by the mechanical axis of the first metatarsal and the mechanical axis of the



Figure 3. The first metatarsal anatomic-mechanical angle is the angular difference between the anatomic and mechanical axes of the first metatarsal. The second metatarsal anatomic-mechanical angle is the angular difference between the anatomic and mechanical axes of the second metatarsal

Angle =  $2.1^{\circ} \pm 1.5^{\circ}$  Angle =  $1.2^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ}$ 

Anatomic TSP = $3.0 \pm 1.1$ Medial Lateral	Mechanical TSP = $2.9 \pm 1.1$ Medial 1 3 7 Lateral

Figure 4. Hardy and Clapham<sup>6</sup> tibial sesamoid position classification based on the anatomic axis and the mechanical axis.

### Literature Review

- Meyr et al.<sup>1</sup> evaluated 373 patients with normal first metatarsal angles. They found the mean IMA and TSP were 9.93° and 3.63, respectively.
- Dayton et al.<sup>2</sup> looked at pre- and postoperative angles of 25 feet with hallux valgus. They determined that the mean preoperative IMA and TSP were 14.9° and 5.6, respectively.
- LaPorta et al.<sup>3</sup> applied concepts described by Paley<sup>4</sup> to identify the mechanical axis of the entire medial column. LaPorta et al.<sup>3</sup> evaluated 200 radiographs: 100 normal feet and 100 pathological feet that had symptomatic hallux valgus deformity. Their study found the mean IMA for the deformity group to be  $13.5 \pm 2.8^{\circ}$  for the anatomic axis and 11.58 ± 1° for the mechanical axis. LaPorta et al.<sup>3</sup> did not report values for TSP with respect to the mechanical or anatomic axis.
- The normal aIMA value has been reported to be 8.3 ± 2.0 degrees.<sup>5</sup>

## **Results**

- Radiographs of 50 feet (26 left feet, 24 right feet) with no pedal pathology were evaluated for six measurements (Tables 1 and 2).
- Values obtained using the mechanical axis were similar to values obtained using the anatomic axis.
- We observed high reliability (range, 0.981–1.0) between the two observers for all measurements and had a large enough sample size that this observation was not due to chance (p < 0.001) (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Normal values, correlation coefficients, and p values for six
 radiographic values of the first and second metatarsal that were obtained using the anatomic axis and the mechanical axis.

Measurements	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Correlation Coefficient	P values
First metatarsal alMA	8.6° ± 2.9°	0.996	P <.001
First metatarsal mIMA	8.9° ± 2.6°	0.981	P <.001
First metatarsal AMA	1.2° ± 1.0°	0.991	P <.001
Second metatarsal AMA	2.1° ± 1.5°	0.996	P <.001
aTSP	3.0 ± 1.1	1.0	P <.001
mTSP	2.9 ± 1.1	1.0	P <.001

aIMA, anatomic intermetatarsal angle; AMA, anatomic-mechanical angle; aTSP, anatomic tibial sesamoid position; mIMA, mechanical intermetatarsal angle; mTSP, mechanical tibial sesamoid position.

- published data.<sup>1,4</sup>

- (Fig. 5).





## **Analysis & Discussion**

 First metatarsal IMA and TSP are important measurements for radiographic evaluation for hallux valgus. Variability exists in how the first metatarsal IMA and TSP are measured.

Our findings were consistent with other

 Minimal difference was found between the mechanical and anatomic IMAs, which were  $8.9^\circ \pm 2.6^\circ$  and  $8.6^\circ \pm 2.9^\circ$ , respectively (Table 2).

 Minimal difference was observed in TSP that was measured based on the mechanical and anatomic axes  $(2.9 \pm 1.1 \text{ versus } 3.0 \pm 1.1)$ .

 Only normal feet were included in our study, which made it easier to determine the anatomic axis lines.

 Posttraumatic deformities, prior osteotomies, previous surgery, or congenital deformities can make it difficult to define the anatomic axis of the metatarsal, which could introduce error when obtaining aIMA and aTSP



Figure 5. A, Before surgery for hallux valgus, the anatomic axis is relatively easy to identify and mostly overlaps the mechanical axis. **B**, After an osteotomy and realignment of the first metatarsal, the anatomic axis is disrupted and difficult to identify. This can introduce error when obtaining aIMA and aTSP. However, the mechanical axis is still able to be drawn, allowing mIMA and mTSP to be obtained.

 Using the mechanical axis for IMA and TSP measurements will avoid errors that can be introduced when using the anatomic axis to obtain measurements of feet with osteotomies, previous surgery, posttraumatic conditions, or congenital deformities (Fig. 5).

• Since minimal differences exist between anatomic and mechanical IMA and TSP in normal feet, only the mechanical axis should be used to obtain mIMA and mTSP for all preoperative and postoperative measurements of feet with hallux valgus.

### References

1. Meyr AJ, Myers A, Pontious J. Descriptive quantitative analysis of hallux abductovalgus transverse plane radiographic parameters. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. 53(4):397–404, 2014.

2. Dayton P, Feilmeier M, Kauwe M, Hirschi J. Relationship of frontal plane rotation of first metatarsal to proximal articular set angle and hallux alignment in patients undergoing tarsometatarsal arthrodesis for hallux abducto valgus: a case series and critical review of the literature. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 52(3):348–54, 2013.

3. S LaPorta GA, Nasser EM, Mulhern JL, Malay DS. The mechanical axis of the first ray: a radiographic assessment in hallux abducto valgus evaluation. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. 55(1):28–34, 2016.

4. Paley D. Principles of Deformity Correction. Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2005.

5. Lamm BM, Stasko PA, Gesheff MG, Bhave A. Normal foot and ankle radiographic angles, measurements, and reference points. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. 55(5):991–8, 2016.

6. Hardy RH, Clapham JC. Observations on hallux valgus; based on a controlled series. J Bone Joint *Surg Br.* 33-B(3):376–91, 1951.